

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,096	0	8/28/2001	Hiroaki Taniguchi	SHC0141	5776
832	7590	12/12/2002			
BAKER &	DANIEL:	S	EXAMINER		
111 E. WAY	'NE STRE	ET			
SUITE 800			COLE, ELIZABETH M		
FORT WAY	NE.IN 4	6802			
	1 0101 111112, 111 10002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1771	
				DATE MAILED: 12/12/2002	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W
<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	•	09/941,096	TANIGUCHI, HIROAKI
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Elizabeth M Cole	1771
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after different term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt orry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON I. by statute. cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3)☐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the app	lication.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
•	The specification is objected to by the E		
10) 🔲 🧻	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by t	he Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any object	= 7 .	
11) 🗌 .	The proposed drawing correction filed o		isapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are requi	• •	
•	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.	
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority do		
	•	ocuments have been received in A	
* S		the priority documents have been ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not	
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
) The translation of the foreign langue Acknowledgment is made of a claim for		
Attachmen	•		
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	0-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and T	ademark Office		

Serial Number: 09/941,096 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

٠, ٠, ٠,

1. Claims 1, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 5, it appears that "or" should be "of". In claims 7-8, a JIS standard is recited.

This renders the claim indefinite. This rejection can be overcome by filing a copy of the testing method to be placed in the application file.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hansson, U.S.

Patent No. 5,976,665. Hansson discloses a top sheet for absorbent articles comprising a pervious thermoplastic film which is bonded to a nonwoven sheet. Both layers may comprise thermoplastic materials. The film is bonded to the nonwoven so as to form a plurality of parallel waves and troughs. See fig 1.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Serial Number: 09/941,096 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

- 5. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansson in view of Ouellette et al, U.S. Patent No. 6025,049. Hansson discloses a top sheet as set forth above. Hansson differs from the claimed invention because Hansson does not disclose the particular materials which are suitable for the film portion. Ouellette et al teaches at col. 6, lines 36-61 that thermoplastic polymers including those claimed are suitable for use as a top sheet in absorbent articles. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have employed the particular materials taught by Ouellette et al to form the film of Hansson. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the materials of Ouellette because such materials were taught as being suitable as topsheet forming films.
- 6. Claims 1, 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansson. Hansson discloses a top sheet as set forth above. Hansson differs from the claimed invention because Hansson does not disclose the specific dimensions recited and does not disclose the particular water-resistance and moisture permeability. However, since the Hansson material is employed for the same purpose as the claimed invention, it would have been obvious to have optimized the dimensions of the elements of the top sheet so that it had optimal comfort and utility in the absorbent article. With regard to the moisture permeability and water-resistance, either the material of Hansson would inherently possess these properties, or it would have been obvious to have optimized the moisture permeability and water resistance of the topsheet through the process of routine experimentation.

Serial Number: 09/941,096

Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (703) 308-0037. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Inquiries of a general nature may be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

The fax number for official faxes is (703) 872-9310. The fax number for official after final faxes is (703) 872-9311. The fax number for unofficial faxes is (703) 305-5436.

Elizabeth M. Cole
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1771

e.m.c December 10, 2002